COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING 320 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PROJECT NUMBER:

R2008-00088

1. DESCRIPTION:

The proposed project consists of a Community Standards District (CSD) zoning ordinance amendment. The objective of the CSD amendment, which would establish additional development standards for the Foothill Boulevard corridor within La Crescenta-Montrose, is to ensure that future development is designed in a pedestrian-friendly manner that enhances the appearance of the corridor. The standards specifically address structure design, parking lot design, wall and fence design, landscaping, setbacks, signage, and permitted uses. This is not a development project nor does it propose additional development beyond what is allowed under the existing General Plan and County Zoning Ordinance.

2. LOCATION:

La Crescenta-Montrose

3. PROPONENT:

Los Angeles County Department of Regional Planning

4. <u>FINDINGS OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS:</u>
BASED ON THE INITIAL STUDY, IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED THAT THE PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.

5. THE LOCATION AND CUSTODIAN OF THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS ON WHICH ADOPTION OF THIS NEGATIVE DECLARATION IS BASED IS: DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING, 320 WEST TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

PREPARED BY:

Mitch Glaser

Supervising Regional Planner

DATE:

July 17, 2008

STAFF USE ONLY

PROJECT NUMBER: R2008-00088

CASE: ADVT200800002



* * * * INITIAL STUDY * * * *

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING

GENERAL INFORMATION

C.S. Map Date:	July 17, 2008	Staff Member:	Mitch Glaser		
Thomas Guide:	Pages 504, 534	USGS Quad:	Pasadena, Condor Peak		
Location:	The unincorporated community approximately 13 miles north of the L the Angeles National Forest to the n west and south, and the City of La Ca	os Angeles Civic Corth and northeast	Center. It is bounded by , the City of Glendale to		
Description of Project:	The proposed project consists of a Community Standards District (CSD) zoning ordinance amendment. The objective of the CSD amendment, which would establish additional development standards for the Foothill Boulevard corridor within La Crescenta-Montrose, is to ensure that future development is designed in a pedestrian-friendly manner that enhances the appearance of the corridor. The standards specifically address structure design, parking lot design, wall and fence design, landscaping, setbacks, signage, and permitted uses. This is not a development project nor does it propose additional development beyond what is allowed under the existing General Plan and County Zoning Ordinance.				
Gross Area:	rea: 2,195 acres (3.43 square miles)				
Environmental Setting:	The community of La Crescenta-Montrose is a suburban community located in an unincorporated area of Los Angeles County in the foothills of the San Gabriel Mountains.				
Zoning:	Various (R-1, R-1-7500, R-1-10000, R-2, R-3, R-3-P, C-1, C-H, CPD, C-2-BE, C-3-BE)				
General Plan:	Various (Low Density Residential, L Density Residential, High Density Res				
Community/Area Wide Plan: N/A					

Major projects in area:

Project Number	Description	Status		
N/A				

NOTE: For EIRs, above projects are not sufficient for cumulative analysis.

Responsible Agencies	REVIEWING AGENCIES Special Reviewing Agencies	Regional Significance		
None	None	None		
Regional Water Quality Control Board	Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy	SCAG Criteria		
	☐ National Parks	☐ Air Quality☐ Water Resources		
Lahontan Region	National Forest □	Santa Monica Mtns Area		
Coastal Commission	☐ Edwards Air Force Base	П		
☐ Army Corps of Engineers	Resource ConservationDistrict of the SantaMonica Mtns.			
Trustee Agencies		County Reviewing Agencies None		
None	Flintridge			
State Fish and Game		Fire Department		
State Parks	□ CSU Fullerton	DPW: Traffic & Lighting, Geotechnical & Materials		
		Engineering, Drainage and Grading		
		Parks and Recreation		
	1 1			

			1A	VAL	.YS	IS SUMMARY (See individual pages for details)
			T			Less than Significant Impact/No Impact
		SOUTH AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1			Le	ess than Significant Impact with Project Mitigation
		To the second se				Potentially Significant Impact
CATEGORY	FACTOR	Pg				Potential Concern
HAZARDS	1. Geotechnical	5		T		
	2. Flood	6	\boxtimes			
	3. Fire	7	Ø			
	4. Noise	8	\boxtimes			
RESOURCES	1. Water Quality	9	X			
	2. Air Quality	10	\boxtimes			
	3. Biota	11	\boxtimes			
	4. Cultural Resources	12	\boxtimes			
	5. Mineral Resources	13	\boxtimes			
	6. Agriculture Resources	14	X			
	7. Visual Qualities	15	\boxtimes			
SERVICES	1. Traffic/Access	16	\boxtimes			
	2. Sewage Disposal	17	\boxtimes			
	3. Education	18	Ø			
	4. Fire/Sheriff	19	Ø			
	5. Utilities	20	Ø			
OTHER	1. General	21	Ø			
	2. Environmental Safety	22	\boxtimes			
	3. Land Use	23	\boxtimes			
	4. Pop./Hous./Emp./Rec.	24	\boxtimes			
	Mandatory Findings	25	\boxtimes			
As required by the environme	ntal review procedure as pre-	neral scribe	Pla ed b	y si	ate	S [*] shall be employed in the Initial Study phase of law. 1/Maintenance, 7-Non-Urban Hillside
2. ☐ Yes ☐ No Is the project located in Monica Mountains or San						e Valley, East San Gabriel Valley, Malibu/Santa lley planning area?
3. ☐ Yes ∑	densit natior		nd	loca	ated within, or proposes a plan amendment to, an	
If both of the	above questions are answe	red "	'yes	s", 1	he	project is subject to a County DMS analysis.
	DMS printout generated (attact					
	MS overview worksheet com taff reports shall utilize the mo					

Environmental Finding:
FINAL DETERMINATION: On the basis of this Initial Study, the Department of Regional Planning finds that this project qualifies for the following environmental document:
NEGATIVE DECLARATION, in as much as the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
An Initial Study was prepared on this project in compliance with the State CEQA Guidelines and the environmental reporting procedures of the County of Los Angeles. It was determined that this project will not exceed the established threshold criteria for any environmental/service factor and, as a result, will not have a significant effect on the physical environment.
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION, in as much as the changes required for the project will reduce impacts to insignificant levels (see attached discussion and/or conditions).
An Initial Study was prepared on this project in compliance with the State CEQA Guidelines and the environmental reporting procedures of the County of Los Angeles. It was originally determined that the proposed project may exceed established threshold criteria. The applicant has agreed to modification of the project so that it can now be determined that the project will not have a significant effect on the physical environment. The modification to mitigate this impact(s) is identified on the Project Changes/Conditions Form included as part of this Initial Study.
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT*, inasmuch as there is substantial evidence that the project may have a significant impact due to factors listed above as "significant."
At least one factor has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to legal

Approved by: Mitch Glaser, AICP, Supervising Regional Planner ______ Date: July 17, 2008

analyze only the factors not previously addressed.

Reviewed by: Mitch Glaser, AICP, Supervising Regional Planner

standards, and has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on the attached sheets (see attached Form DRP/IA 101). The EIR is required to

Date: July 17, 2008

*NOTE: Findings for Environmental Impact Reports will be prepared as a separate document following the public hearing on the project.

HAZARDS - 1. Geotechnical

51			PACIS	
a.	Yes	INO INO	Maybe	Is the project site located in an active or potentially active fault zone, Seismic Hazards Zone, or Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone? The northern portion of the La Crescenta-Montrose community is traversed by the Sierra Madre Fault, however, the Foothill Blvd corridor is located further to the south (Los Angeles County Safety Element – Fault Rupture Hazards & Seismicity Map).
b.				Is the project site located in an area containing a major landslide(s)? <u>Areas of potential earthquake-induced landslides exist on the northern and northeastern portions of the La Crescenta-Montrose community, however, the Foothill Blvd corridor is located further to the south (State of California Seismic Hazard Zones Map – Pasadena & Condor Peak Quads).</u>
C.		П		Is the project site located in an area having high slope instability?
d.				Is the project site subject to high subsidence, high groundwater level, liquefaction, or hydrocompaction? The Foothill Blvd corridor is located near the southern tip of La Crescenta-Montrose community where it is subject to liquefaction (State of California Seismic Hazard Zones Map – Pasadena Quad).
e.				Is the proposed project considered a sensitive use (school, hospital, public assembly site) located in close proximity to a significant geotechnical hazard? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Any development that is considered a sensitive use is not being proposed.
f.				Will the project entail substantial grading and/or alteration of topography including slopes of more than 25%? Grading will not be required by the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment.
g.				Would the project be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?
h.				Other factors? <u>N/A</u>
				REQUIREMENTS to No. 2225 C Sections 308B, 309, 310 and 311 and Chapters 29 and 70.
	MITIC Lot S		ON ME	ASURES / ☑ OTHER CONSIDERATIONS ☐ Project Design ☑ Approval of Geotechnical Report by DPW
curi stai	rently ndards	allowe for th	ed by th ne Footi	scenta-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is ne zoning code and will not create additional development. It will establish additional design hill Blvd corridor within La Crescenta-Montrose. Any future development proposals will require ntal review to address potential geotechnical concerns and be subject to the Alquist-Priolo Act.
Cor	nside		ie abov	ve information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) y, geotechnical factors?
	Pote	entially	⁄ signifi	cant ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ ☐ Less than significant/No impac

HAZARDS - 2. Flood

SETTING/IMPACTS	
Yes No Maybe	Is a major drainage course, as identified on USGS quad sheets by a dashed line, located on the project site? The Eagle Canyon and Pickens Canyon drainage channels cross the Foothill Blvd corridor, however, they are located below grade (Pasadena and Condor Peak Quads).
b. 🗆 🗵 🗆	Is the project site located within or does it contain a floodway, floodplain, or designated flood hazard zone? The Foothill Blvd corridor is not located in any flood hazard zones such as 100-year or 500-year floodplains (Los Angeles County Safety Element – Flood Inundation Hazards Map),
c. 🗆 🗵 🗆	Is the project site located in or subject to high mudflow conditions? The Foothill Blvd corridor is heavily urbanized and is not subject to high mudflow conditions.
d. 🔲 🛭 🗆	Could the project contribute or be subject to high erosion and debris deposition from run off? <u>Grading will not be required by the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment.</u>
e.	Would the project substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment is not proposing development that would alter the existing drainage pattern of the community. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
f. 🔲 🔲 🖂	Other factors (e.g., dam failure)? N/A
_	REQUIREMENTS e No. 2225 C Section 308A
☐ MITIGATION MEA ☐ Lot Size	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS Project Design
The proposed La Crescurrently allowed by the hazards.	centa-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is be zoning code and will not create additional development that exacerbate any existing flood
	re information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) or flood (hydrological) factors?
Potentially significa	nt ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

HAZARDS - 3. Fire

SE	TTIN		PACTS				
a.	Yes	No	Maybe	Is the project site located in a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone (Fire Zone 4)?			
				The northern portion of the community of La Crescenta-Montrose is located in Fire Zone 4, however, the Foothill Blvd corridor is not (Los Angeles County Safety Element – Wildland & Urban Fire Hazards Map).			
b.				Is the project site in a high fire hazard area and served by inadequate access due to lengths, widths, surface materials, turnarounds or grade? The northern portion of the community of La Crescenta-Montrose is located in Fire Zone 4, however, the Foothill Blvd corridor is not. Access along Foothill Blvd is considered to be adequate.			
C.				Does the project site have more than 75 dwelling units on a single access in a high fire hazard area? Foothill Blvd is a dedicated four-lane State highway. Dwelling units are not being proposed as part of the subject CSD zoning ordinance amendment. Access for future projects will be evaluated on a case by case basis.			
d.		\boxtimes		Is the project site located in an area having inadequate water and pressure to meet fire flow standards? The proposed CSD area is served by the Crescenta Valley Water District which provides adequate water pressure in compliance with current Fire Code.			
e.				Is the project site located in close proximity to potential dangerous fire hazard conditions/uses (such as refineries, flammables, explosives manufacturing)? Although there are potentially dangerous fire hazard uses such as gas stations along the Foothill Blvd corridor, the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development in close proximity to such potentially dangerous fire hazard uses. Any future proposed uses located next to flammables will be conditioned appropriately by the Fire Department.			
f.			-	Does the proposed use constitute a potentially dangerous fire hazard? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve a potentially dangerous fire hazard. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.			
g.				Other factors? N/A			
				REQUIREMENTS No. 7834			
\boxtimes	Fuel	Modif	ication/	Landscape Plan			
	☐ MITIGATION MEASURES / ☐ OTHER CONSIDERATIONS ☐ Project Design ☐ Compatible Use						
<u>Dev</u> not	elopm propo	ent the	<u>at will b</u> Any futu	Blvd planning area is not located in a high fire hazard zone and has adequate site access. The impacted by potentially dangerous fire hazard uses such as gas stations in the community is used the community is used to be addressed to be a supposed to be a suppos			
Cor	sider		e abov	e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) fire hazard factors?			
] P	otenti	ally si	gnificar	nt ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact			

HAZARDS - 4. Noise

5E	200.000		AC IS	
a.	Yes	No I	Maybe □	Is the project site located near a high noise source (airports, railroads, freeways, industry)? Interstate 210 is a potential high noise source that runs east-west through the community of La Crescenta-Montrose, however, it is 1,700 feet south of the Foothill Blvd corridor.
b.				Is the proposed use considered sensitive (school, hospital, senior citizen facility) or are there other sensitive uses in close proximity? The Foothill Blvd corridor is heavily developed with commercial uses and does not accommodate sensitive uses such as schools, hospitals, and senior citizen facilities.
C.				Could the project substantially increase ambient noise levels including those associated with special equipment (such as amplified sound systems) or parking areas associated with the project? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Such standards could reduce ambient noise levels including those associated with special equipment or parking areas.
d.				Would the project result in a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels without the project? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Such standards could reduce ambient noise levels in the project vicinity.
e.				Other factors? <u>N/A</u>
51/	ANDA	ARD C	ODE	REQUIREMENTS
	Noise	Ordin	ance I	No. 11,778 Building Ordinance No. 2225Chapter 35
	MITIG	ATIO	N ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
	_ot Si	ze		☐ Project Design ☐ Compatible Use
curr use: feet	ently a s such south	allowed as sc of the	l by the hools, e Foot	scenta-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is a zoning code. It will not create additional development that will have noise impacts to sensitive hospitals, and senior facilities. Noise impacts from Interstate 210 is insignificant as it is 1,700 hill Blvd corridor. Any future development proposals will require appropriate environmental a concerns.
CO	NCLU	SION		
				re information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) inpacted by noise ?
] P	otentia	ally sig	gnifica	nt Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No impact

RESOURCES - 1. Water Quality

SETTING/INPA		
a. Yes No M	Is the project site proposing the use of the Foothill Blvd con requiring the use of	located in an area having known water quality problems and of individual water wells? Individual water wells? Individual water any known water quality problems, and development individual water wells is not being proposed. Water to the community is secenta Valley Water District in compliance with State and Federal water
b. 🗆 🗵	Development requirir	roject require the use of a private sewage disposal system? g the use of private sewage disposal system is not being proposed. The is served by public sewer.
	limitations due to h	s, is the project site located in an area having known septic tank igh groundwater or other geotechnical limitations or is the project stems located in close proximity to a drainage course?
c. 🔲 🖂	groundwater and/or receiving water bod The proposed CSD significantly impact w	associated construction activities significantly impact the quality of storm water runoff to the storm water conveyance system and/or es? <u>Izoning ordinance amendment does not involve construction that could the story and runoff. The Foothill Blvd corridor is served by storm of the subject to compliance with the subject to compliance with</u>
d. 🔲 🖾 [water runoff and/or potential pollutants the proposed CSD zo that could potentially	coost-development activities potentially degrade the quality of storm could post-development non-storm water discharges contribute to the storm water conveyance system and/or receiving bodies? Soning ordinance amendment does not involve post-development activities degrade quality of storm water runoff and discharges. Any future is will be subject to compliance with NPDES standards.
e. 🔲 🔲 [Other factors? N/A	
STANDARD CO ☐ Industrial Wa	DDE REQUIREMENTS aste Permit	Health Code Ordinance No. 7583, Chapter 5
☐ Plumbing Co	de Ordinance No. 2269	
	I MEASURES / ☐ OTH ☐ Project Design	ER CONSIDERATIONS
currently allowed t There will not be a	by the zoning code. The Fo	zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is othill Blvd corridor is served by public water and sewer and storm drains, since the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment will not increase
	above information, could ed by, water quality prob	the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) lems?
☐ Potentially siç	gnificant 🔲 Less than sig	nificant with project mitigation

RESOURCES - 2. Air Quality

SE	20020700000		PACIS	
a.	Yes		Maybe	Will the proposed project exceed the State's criteria for regional significance (generally (a 500 dwelling units for residential uses or (b) 40 gross acres, 650,000 square feet of floo area or 1,000 employees for nonresidential uses)? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Commercial development that will exceed the State's criteria for regional significance is not being proposed.
b.				Is the proposal considered a sensitive use (schools, hospitals, parks) and located near a freeway or heavy industrial use? Interstate 210 runs east-west through the southern portion of the La Crescenta-Montrose community, however, it is 1,700 feet south of the Foothill Blvd corridor. The Foothill Blvd corridor is developed with commercial uses and does not accommodate sensitive uses such as schools and parks. Any future development proposals will have to meet AQMD thresholds.
).				Will the project increase local emissions to a significant extent due to increased traffic congestion or use of a parking structure, or exceed AQMD thresholds of potential significance? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Any future development proposals will have to meet AQMD thresholds.
•				Will the project generate or is the site in close proximity to sources which created obnoxious odors, dust, and/or hazardous emissions? Interstate 210 is a potential source of obnoxious odors, dust, and hazardous emissions, however, it is 1,700 feet south of the Foothill Blvd corridor. The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development that would generate obnoxious odors, dust, and hazardous emissions. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
•				Would the project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development that would obstruction of applicable air quality plans. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
				Would the project violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve any development that would violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
			1	Would the project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development that would increase criteria pollutants.
				Other factors: N/A
-				REQUIREMENTS
	⊣ealtl VIITIC	n and ATIO	Safety N MEA	Code Section 40506 SURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
	•	ct Des	•	Air Quality Report
Mon cond	trose	comm	unity. I	emissions is Interstate 210 which runs through the southern portion of the La Crescenta- However, it is 1,700 feet south of the Foothill Blvd corridor and would not pose any air quality development proposals will require appropriate environmental review to address air quality
COI Con	VCLU sider		e above	e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) on, quality?
] P(otenti	ally si	gnificar	t ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

RESOURCES - 3. Biota

5	EIIII Yes	NG/INI No	Mayb	
а	ı. <u> </u>			Is the project site located within a Significant Ecological Area (SEA), SEA Buffer, or coastal Sensitive Environmental Resource (ESHA, etc.), or is the site relatively undisturbed and natural? The Foothill Blvd corridor is not located within any SEAs (Los Angeles County 2006 SEA Map) and is heavily developed with commercial uses.
b.				Will grading, fire clearance, or flood related improvements remove substantial natural habitat areas? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not require grading, fire clearance, or flood related improvements that will remove substantial natural habitat areas. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Development is not proposed.
C.		\boxtimes		Is a major drainage course, as identified on USGS quad sheets by a blue, dashed line, located on the project site? The Eagle Canyon and Pickens Canyon drainage channels cross the Foothill Blvd corridor, however, they are located below grade (Pasadena and Condor Peak Quads).
d.				Does the project site contain a major riparian or other sensitive habitat (e.g., coastal sage scrub, oak woodland, sycamore riparian woodland, wetland, etc.)? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. The Foothill Blvd corridor is developed with commercial uses and does not accommodate sensitive habitats. Any future proposed development projects will be subject to the Los Angeles County Oak Tree Ordinance.
e.				Does the project site contain oak or other unique native trees (specify kinds of trees)? Some portions of the Foothill Blvd corridor do accommodate oak trees. However, the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve any development. Any future proposed development will be subject to the Los Angeles County Oak Tree Ordinance.
f.				Is the project site habitat for any known sensitive species (federal or state listed endangered, etc.)? <u>Due to its proximity to the Angeles National Forest, the La Crescenta-Montrose community may contain sensitive species habitats, however, the Foothill Blvd corridor is located further to the south and is heavily urbanized. Development is not proposed.</u>
g.				Other factors (e.g., wildlife corridor, adjacent open space linkage)? N/A
	MITI Lot S			EASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS oject Design Oak Tree Permit ERB/SEATAC Review
<u>curren</u> structu	itly all ires al	owed ong the	by the e Footh	enta-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is zoning code. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial nill Blvd corridor. Any future development proposals will require appropriate environmental concerns. Properties containing oak trees will be subject to the County Oak Tree Ordinance.
	nside	ring t		pove information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or tic resources?
☐ Pote	entiall	y signi	ificant	☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

RESOURCES - 4. Archaeological / Historical / Paleontological

SETTING/IMPACTS

а	Yes a. ⊠	No D	Maybe	Is the project site in or near an area containing known archaeological resources of containing features (drainage course, spring, knoll, rock outcroppings, or oak trees) which indicate potential archaeological sensitivity? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. A limited portion of the Foothill Blvd corridor contains rock outcroppings, however, development is not being proposed.
b	o. 🔯	Activities and the second of t		Does the project site contain rock formations indicating potential paleontological resources? There are rock formations located along the Foothill Blvd corridor, however, development is not proposed.
С	. 🗇	\boxtimes		Does the project site contain known historic structures or sites? There are no known historic structures or site along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
d	-			Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource as defined in 15064.5? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. It is not proposing development that would cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical or archaeological resource.
e	. 🗖			Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? There is a limited area of rock outcroppings that remain undisturbed along the Foothill Blvd corridor. However, the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve any development. All future proposed development projects will be subject to appropriate environmental reviews for paleontological resources.
f.				Other factors? <u>N/A</u>
] MITIO	GATIO	ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
] Lot S	Size		☐ Project Design ☐ Phase I Archaeology Report
<u>cu</u> <u>lai</u> <u>wi</u> Su	irrently ndscap ill requi uch rev	allowe ing sta ire ap iew wi	ed by th andards propriat Il includ	scenta-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is e zoning code and will not create additional development. It will establish design, setback, and for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Any future development proposals e environmental review to address archaeological, historical, and paleontological concerns e a Phase I Archaeology Report to address issues where identified.
Co		ring th	ne abov	ve information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) nistorical , or paleontological resources?
	Potent	ially s	ignifica	nt ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

RESOURCES - <u>5. Mineral Resources</u>

SETTING/IMPACTS	
Yes No Maybe	Would the project result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment would not result in the loss of any known mineral resources as the Foothill Blvd corridor is not located within a mineral resource zone.
b. 🔲 🗵 🗆	Would the project result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource discovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? The Foothill Blvd corridor is not located in a mineral resource zone. The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment would not result in the loss of any known mineral resource discovery sites.
c	Other factors? N/A
bossessed :	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
Lot Size	Project Design
The proposed CSD are resources.	ea is not located in a mineral resource zone and will not have an impact to known mineral
CONCLUSION	
Considering the above on mineral resources	e information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively)?
Potentially significar	t ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

RESOURCES - 6. Agriculture Resources

SETTIN	IG/IMF	PACTS	
Yes	No I	Maybe	
a.			Would the project convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? The Foothill Blvd corridor is fully developed with commercial uses and does not accommodate any Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (California Department of Conservation 2006 Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program Map).
b			Would the project conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or Williamson Act Contract? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design standards for commercia structures, setbacks, and landscaping. There would be no impact to agricultural uses as the Foothill Blvd corridor does not have agricultural zoning.
C			Would the project involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use? The Foothill Blvd corridor is fully developed with commercial uses and does not accommodate farmland.
d. 🔲			Other factors? N/A
☐ MITIC		N ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS Project Design
			ning ordinance amendment will not have an impact to agricultural resources as there are no d of statewide significance in the Foothill Blvd corridor planning area.
CONCLU			
Consider on agric i	_		e information, could the project leave a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) ces?
☐ Potenti	ally sig	gnificar	t Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No impact

RESOURCES - 7. Visual Qualities

S			PACT	
a.	-	i NO	Mayb	Is the project site substantially visible from or will it obstruct views along a scenic highway (as shown on the Scenic Highway Element), or is it located within a scenic corridor or will it otherwise impact the viewshed? <u>Development is not proposed as part of the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment The Foothill Blvd corridor is not considered a scenic corridor and there would be not</u>
				obstruction of views from the proposed CSD amendment.
b.				Is the project substantially visible from or will it obstruct views from a regional riding or hiking trail? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development as it establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures. The Foothill Blvd corridor is a dedicated State highway and does not accommodate a riding or hiking trail.
C.				Is the project site located in an undeveloped or undisturbed area, which contains unique aesthetic features? The Foothill Blvd corridor is heavily developed with commercial uses and does not contain unique aesthetic features.
d.				Is the proposed use out-of-character in comparison to adjacent uses because of height, bulk, or other features? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures. Such standards will reduce any future development from being out of character with adjacent uses. Out-of-character uses are not being proposed.
e.		\boxtimes		Is the project likely to create substantial sun shadow, light or glare problems? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures. Such standards will reduce substantial sun shadow, light, and glare problems.
f.				Other factors (e.g., grading or land form alteration): N/A
	MITIC	GATIC	N ME.	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
	Lot S	ize		☐ Project Design ☐ Visual Report ☐ Compatible Use
comm	ercial .	structu	res alo	ing ordinance amendment will establish design, setback, and landscaping standards for ng the Foothill Blvd corridor and will not have an impact to visual quality in the community as ay/corridors or hiking and riding trails along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
CO	NCL	USION	V	
				ove information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or nic qualities?
☐ Pote	entially	y sign	ificant	☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

SERVICES - 1. Traffic/Access

SE	ETTIN		PACTS	
a.	Yes	No ⊠	Maybe	Does the project contain 25 dwelling units, or more and is it located in an area with known congestion problems (roadway or intersections)? The Foothill Blvd corridor is a heavily traveled roadway, however, the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment will not create congestion problems as development is not proposed.
b.				Will the project result in any hazardous traffic conditions? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development that will result in any hazardous traffic conditions. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
C.		\boxtimes		Will the project result in parking problems with a subsequent impact on traffic conditions? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development that will result in parking problems with a subsequent impact on traffic conditions. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
d.				Will inadequate access during an emergency (other than fire hazards) result in problems for emergency vehicles or residents/employees in the area? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development that will result in inadequate access during an emergency. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures. Any future development projects will be subject to safety provisions regulated by Public Works and the Fire Department.
e.				Will the congestion management program (CMP) Transportation Impact Analysis thresholds of 50 peak hour vehicles added by project traffic to a CMP highway system intersection or 150 peak hour trips added by project traffic to a mainline freeway link be exceeded? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development that will exceed CMP Transportation Impact Analysis thresholds. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
f.				Would the project conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment would not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation as it establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Development is not proposed.
g.				Other factors? N/A
	MITIC	GATIC	ON ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
	Proje	ct Des	sign	☐ Traffic Report ☐ Consultation with Traffic & Lighting Division
in a	n redi	uction	or increa	enta-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment is not proposing development that will result ase of parking spaces and will not create hazardous traffic conditions. Any future development opropriate environmental review to address traffic and access concerns.
CO	NCLI	USION		
Cor on t	ısideı he pl	ring th	ne abov I enviro	e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) nment due to traffic/access factors?
] P	otent	ially si	ignificar	nt ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

SERVICES - 2. Sewage Disposal

S			PACTS	
a.	Yes	No	Maybe	If served by a community sewage system, could the project create capacity problems at the treatment plant? The Foothill Blvd corridor is on public sewer, however, the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve any development that will require an increase in sewage capacity. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Density will not increase beyond what is currently authorized.
b.				Could the project create capacity problems in the sewer lines serving the project site? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve any development that could create capacity problems. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Density will not increase beyond what is currently authorized.
C.				Other factors? N/A
ST	'AND	ARD C	ODE	REQUIREMENTS
\boxtimes	Sanita	ary Se	wers a	and Industrial Waste Ordinance No. 6130
\boxtimes	Plumb	oing C	ode O	ordinance No. 2269
	MITIG	ATIO	N ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
cui	rently a	allowe	d by the	scenta-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is a zoning code. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures orridor. Density will not increase beyond what is currently authorized.
CC	NCLL	ISION	l	
				ve information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) onment due to sewage disposal facilities?
		à		
] P	otentia	ally sig	nifica	nt

SERVICES - <u>3. Education</u>

SETTING/IMPACT	
Yes No Mayt a. □ ⊠ □	Could the project create capacity problems at the district level? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve student-generating development that could create capacity problems. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
b. 🔲 🗵 🗀	Could the project create capacity problems at individual schools which will serve the project site? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve student-generating development that could create capacity problems. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
c. 🗆 🗵 🗆	Could the project create student transportation problems? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve student-generating development that could create student transportation problems. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
d. \square	Could the project create substantial library impacts due to increased population and demand? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve student-generating development that could create substantial library impacts. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
e. 🗆 🗆 🗆	Other factors? N/A
☐ MITIGATION M	EASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
☐ Site Dedication	☐ Government Code Section 65995 ☐ Library Facilities Mitigation Fee
library facilities as stu	escenta-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will not have an impact to educational or udent-generating development is not proposed. The new expansion of the old Crescenta Valley and will provide increased library services. Any future development proposals will require payment Impact fees.
	ove information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) nal facilities/services?
☐ Potentially signific	eant Less than significant with project mitigation Less than significant/No impact

SERVICES - 4. Fire/Sheriff Services

	-			PACTS Maybe	
	a.	les			Could the project create staffing or response time problems at the fire station or sheriff's substation serving the project site? There are several Los Angeles County Fire Stations in and around the CSD area. The closest station serving the CSD area is Fire Station #63 located at 4526 N. Ramsdell Ave, La Crescenta, CA. The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment will not create staffing or response time problems at the fire or sheriff's station and will not increase density beyond what is authorized.
	b.				Are there any special fire or law enforcement problems associated with the project or the general area? The nearest Sheriff's station serving the CSD area is the Crescenta Valley Station located at 4554 N. Briggs Ave, La Crescenta, CA. The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment would not create any special fire or law enforcement problems at the fire or sheriff's station.
(О.				Other factors? N/A
[n	ЛІТІС	ATIC	N MEA	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
[☐ F	ire N	⁄litigat	ion Fee	es es
5	struc	tures	along	the Foot	ing ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial thill Blvd corridor and will not result in the increase of density. Fire or Sheriff's response time and increase of development is not proposed.
<u>e</u>	enioi	rceme	<u>ent Wil</u>	i noi be	increase as development is not proposed.
C	ON	ICLU	SION	l	
					e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) services?
	Po	tentia	ally si	gnifican	t ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

SERVICES - <u>5. Utilities/Other Services</u>

SE			PACT	
a.	Yes	No ⊠	Mayb	Is the project site in an area known to have an inadequate public water supply to meet domestic needs or to have an inadequate ground water supply and proposes water wells?
				Adequate water supply to the Foothill Blvd corridor is supplied by the Crescenta Valley Water District.
b.		\boxtimes		Is the project site in an area known to have an inadequate water supply and/or pressure to meet fire fighting needs? The Foothill Blvd corridor is adequately served by the Crescenta Valley Water District for fire fighting needs. Existing water pressure meets County fire fighting standards.
C.				Could the project create problems with providing utility services, such as electricity, gas, or propane? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve any development that will create problems with providing utility services. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Utility services for the Foothill Blvd corridor is served by SCE and Southern California Gas Company.
d.				Are there any other known service problem areas (e.g., solid waste)? The Foothill Blvd corridor is developed with commercial uses that may potentially create service problems, however, the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve any development, and service problems are not exacerbated. Therefore, demand for other services will not increase.
e.				Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services or facilities (e.g., fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, roads)? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not propose any additional development. It establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. There would be no impact to services such as fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, or roads.
f.				Other factors? <u>N/A</u>
⊠ F	Plumi	bing C	ode O	REQUIREMENTS rdinance No. 2269
	ot S			Project Design
curre lands	ently i scapii	allowe ng stan	d by the dards f	centa-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is a zoning code and will not create additional development. It will establish design, setback, and corrected structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Any future development proposals will ironmental review to address utility and other service concerns.
Cons	sider	JSION ing the utilit	e abov	e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) rvices?
Pol	tentia	ally sig	ınificar	it ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

OTHER FACTORS - 1. General

SETTING/IMPACTS							
Yes No Maybe a. \[\sum \frac{\text{\subset}}{\text{\subset}} \] Will the project result in an inefficient use \(The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amen \(\frac{will not result in an inefficient use of energy in \)	dment does not involve development projects and						
general area or community? <u>The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amen</u>	ge in the patterns, scale, or character of the dment does not involve development projects that scale, or character of the community. It does not recommunity.						
c. \square \boxtimes \square Will the project result in a significant redu <u>The Foothill Blvd corridor does not contain ago</u>							
d. Other factors? N/A							
STANDARD CODE REQUIREMENTS State Administrative Code, Title 24, Part 5, T-20 (Energy Code)	onservation)						
☐ MITIGATION MEASURES / ☐ OTHER CONSIDERATION	DNS						
☐ Lot size ☐ Project Design ☐ Compatible	e Use						
The proposed La Crescenta-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not create increased demand for energy use and will not change the patterns, scale, or character of the CSD area. It will establish additional development standards for the Foothill Blvd corridor. Any future development proposals will require appropriate environmental review to address concerns relating to energy resources and change in patterns, scale, and character of the community.							
CONCLUSION							
Considering the above information, could the project have a si on the physical environment due to any of the above factors?	ignificant impact (individually or cumulatively)						
☐ Potentially significant ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact							

OTHER FACTORS - 2. Environmental Safety

S	ETTIN Yes		PACTS Maybe	
a.				Are any hazardous materials used, transported, produced, handled, or stored on-site? <u>Commercial uses along the Foothill Blvd corridor may contain potentially hazardous materials on-site, however, the proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development projects.</u>
b.				Are any pressurized tanks to be used or any hazardous wastes stored on-site? <u>The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve the use of hazardous wastes stored on-site.</u>
C.				Are any residential units, schools, or hospitals located within 500 feet and potentially adversely affected? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development projects that will have a negative impact to residential units, schools, or hospitals.
d.				Have there been previous uses which indicate residual soil toxicity of the site or is the site located within two miles downstream of a known groundwater contamination source within the same watershed? The Foothill Blvd corridor is heavily developed. Ground water contamination is not reported as a problem in the community.
e.				Would the project create a significant hazard to the public or the environment involving the accidental release of hazardous materials into the environment? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development projects that would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the accidental release of hazardous materials into the environment.
f.		\boxtimes		Would the project generate hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials, substances, o waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not involve development of any projects, therefore, adoption of the CSD amendment would not generate hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials, substances, or waste.
g.				Would the project be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would create a significant hazard to the public or environment? The Foothill Blvd corridor does not contain hazardous materials sites as referenced in the Department of Toxic Substances Control EnviroStor database.
h.		\boxtimes		Would the project result in a safety hazard for people in a project area located within an airport land use plan, within two miles of a public or public use airport, or within the vicinity of a private airstrip? The Foothill Blvd corridor is not located within an airport land use plan nor is it within the vicinity of any private airstrips or public airports.
I.				Would the project impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment does not propose development projects that would impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plar or emergency evacuation plan.
j.				Other factors? N/A
	MITIG	ATION	I MEAS	URES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
cur	rently a	allowed	d by the	centa-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is zoning code and will not create additional development. It will establish design, setback, and or commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor.
	NCLU nsiderii		above i	nformation, could the project have a significant impact relative to public safety ?
□Р	otentia	ally sig	nifican	t ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

OTHER FACTORS - 3. Land Use

527929	vvvvvcee:		ACTS	
a. [SSEC		Maybe	Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the plan designation(s) of the subject property? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Zone changes that may conflict with the plan designation are not proposed as part of this amendment.
b. [Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the zoning designation of the subject property? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. No development is being proposed.
c.				Can the project be found to be inconsistent with the following applicable land use criteria:
Ç		\boxtimes		Hillside Management Criteria?
С		\boxtimes		SEA Conformance Criteria?
Ц] [Other? N/A
d. 茸] [\boxtimes		Would the project physically divide an established community? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment is not proposing any development and would not physically divide an established community.
е. 🗀] [Other factors? N/A
□ мп	ΓIG	NTIO	N MEA	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
current landsca	lly ali aping	lowed stand	l by the dards fo	centa-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is a zoning code and will not create additional development. It will establish design, setback, and or commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Any future development proposals will be fronmental review to address land use consistency.
CONC	LUS	SION		
				e information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) nment due to land use factors?
☐ Poter	ntiall	y sig	nifican	t ☐ Less than significant with project mitigation ☐ Less than significant/No impact

OTHER FACTORS - 4. Population/Housing/Employment/Recreation

SE			PACIS	
a.	Yes	No	Maybe	Could the project cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and
				landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. No development is being proposed that will impact regional or local population projections.
b.				Could the project induce substantial direct or indirect growth in an area (e.g., through projects in an undeveloped area or extension of major infrastructure)? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and
				landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. No development is being proposed that will induce substantial direct or indirect growth in an area.
C.				Could the project displace existing housing, especially affordable housing? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. No development is proposed that will displace existing housing.
d.				Could the project result in a substantial job/housing imbalance or substantial increase in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. No development is being proposed that will result in a substantial job/housing imbalance or substantial increase in VMT.
e.				Could the project require new or expanded recreational facilities for future residents? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. No development is being proposed that will require new or expanded recreational facilities for future residents. Future subdivisions will be subject to the Quimby Act.
f.				Would the project displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? The proposed CSD zoning ordinance amendment establishes design, setback, and landscaping standards for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. No residents is being displaced.
g.				Other factors? <u>N/A</u>
	MITIC	GATIC	N ME	ASURES / OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
				centa-Montrose CSD zoning ordinance amendment will regulate the development of what is a zoning code and will not create additional development. It will establish design, setback, and
land	Iscapi	ing sta	ndards	for commercial structures along the Foothill Blvd corridor. Any future development proposals environmental review to address population, employment, and recreation concerns.
СО	NCL	JSION		
Cor on t	ısider he _i ph	ring th nysica	e abov I envird	re information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) onment due to population , housing , employment , or recreational factors?
] Po	otentia	ally si	gnificar	nt

MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

Based on this Initial Study, the following findings are made:

YesNo Maybe a. □ ⊠ [Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
a. 🔲 🛛 [Does the project have possible environmental effects which are individually limited but cumulatively considerable? "Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of an individual project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects?
a. 🗌 🔟 🕻	Will the environmental effects of the project cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?
CONCLUSION	
Considering the a on the environr	bove information, could the project have a significant impact (individually or cumulatively) nent?
Potentially signific	ant